Certification Test Report

Sherwood Industries Ltd. Freestanding Pellet Stove

Model: Empress FS

Prepared for:

Sherwood Industries Ltd.

6782 Oldfield Road

Saanichton, BC V8M 2A3

CANADA

Prepared by:

OMNI-Test Laboratories, Inc.

13327 NE Airport Way Portland, OR 97230 (503) 643-3788

Test Period:

April 9-13, 2009

Report Date:

May 2009

Report Number:

268-S-13-8,3

All data and information contained in this report are confidential and proprietary to Sherwood Industries Ltd. Its significance is subject to the adequacy and representative character of the samples and to the comprehensiveness of the tests, examinations, or surveys made. The contents of this report cannot be copied or quoted, except in full, without specific, written authorization from Sherwood Industries Ltd. and OMNI-Test Laboratories, Inc. No use of the OMNI-Test Laboratories, Inc. name, logo, or registered mark (O-TL) is permitted, except as expressly authorized by OMNI-Test Laboratories, Inc. in writing.

Section 5

Sampling Procedures and Test Results

INTRODUCTION

Sherwood Industries Ltd. retained OMNI-Test Laboratories, Inc. (*OMNI*) to perform U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) certification testing on the Empress FS. The Empress FS is a freestanding, pellet-fired room heater.

The testing was performed at *OMNI*'s testing facility in Portland, Oregon. The altitude of the laboratory is 30 feet above sea level. The unit was received in good condition and logged in at the *OMNI*'s testing facility on March 24, 2009. It was assigned and labeled with *OMNI* ID #1401. *OMNI* representative Bruce Davis conducted the certification testing and completed all testing by April 13, 2009. A testing contract, including provisions for Random Compliance Audit (RCA) testing, has been signed by Brian Drescher of Sherwood Industries Ltd. and is on file at *OMNI*.

The Empress FS was tested in accordance with the U.S. EPA 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart AAA — Standard of Performance for Residential Wood Heaters (Appendix A, Methods 28 and 5G). Particulate emissions were measured using a Method 5G sampling train consisting of two filters (front and back). The weighted average emissions of the four test runs indicate a particulate emission level of 1.7 g/hr. Test runs were conducted in each of four burn rate categories (<0.80 kg/hr; 0.80-1.25 kg/hr; 1.25-1.90 kg/hr; and maximum). Emissions for each of the individual test runs did not exceed the cap. The Empress FS results are within the emission limit of 7.5 g/hr for non-catalytic affected facilities manufactured on or after July 1, 1990, or sold at retail on or after July 1, 1992.

This report is organized in accordance with the EPA-recommended outline and is summarized in the Table of Contents immediately preceding this report. The results in this report are limited to the item submitted.

Table 1.1 - Particulate Emissions

0.96	1.23
1.48	1.63
1.86	3.58
0.69	1.07
	1.48 1.86

Table 1.2 – Test Facility Conditions

	Room Temperature (°F)				Air Velocity (ft/min)	
Run	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After
1	71	75	29.97	29.99	<50	<50
2	77	73	29.94	29.94	<50	<50
3	70	71 .	30.01	30.00	<50	<50
4	71	70	30.26	30.26	<50	<50

Table 1.3.1 - Fuel Measurement Summary - PRETEST

Run	Beginning Fuel Weight (lbs)	Ending Fuel Weight (lbs)
1	50.8	48.8
2	44.4	41.0
3	16.7	11.7
4	12.0	10.4

Table 1.3.2 - Fuel Measurement Summary - TEST

Run	Beginning Fuel Weight (lbs)	Fuel Moisture Content (Dry basis - %)	Ending Fuel Weight (lbs)
1	48.8	1.3	44.5
2	41.0	1.3	34.4
3	11.7	1.3	3.4
4	10.4	1.3	7.3

Table 1.4 – Dilution Tunnel Gas Measurements and Sampling Data Summary

		Average Dilution Tunnel Gas Measurements			
Run	Length of Test (min)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Flow Rate (dscf/min)	Temperature (°F)	
1	120	13.13	140.8	96,5	
2	120	13.23	140.5	104.0	
3	120	13.15	140.4	97.5	
4	120	12.75	141.8	84.3	

Table 1.5 - Heater Operation Data (Average Temperature Data)

Run	Beginning Surface Temperature Average ^a	Ending Surface Temperature Average ^a	Surface Delta T ^b
1	375.4	397.3	22
2	501.0	475.3	26
3	524.6	524.6	0
4	323.2	327.4	4
	emperatures are in °F. resents the difference between	n beginning and ending average	Surface temperature

Table 1.6 – Pretest Configuration

Run	Stove Temperature Control	Feed Adjuster	Temperature Control	Time (min)
1	Heat Level Setting 2	N/A	N/A	60
2	Heat Level Setting 4	N/A	N/A	60
3	Heat Level Setting at Maximum	N/A	N/A	72
4	Heat Level Setting 1	N/A	N/A	66

Table 1.7 - Test Configuration

Run	Stove Temperature Control	Feed Adjuster	Temperature Control	Time (min)
1	Heat Level Setting 2	N/A	N/A	120
2	Heat Level Setting 4	N/A	N/A	120
3	Heat Level Setting at Maximum	N/A	N/A	120
4	Heat Level Setting 1	N/A	N/A	120

Table 1.8 - Run Data

Run	Average Dry Burn Rate (kg/hr)	Initial (Induced) Draft (in H ₂ O)	Average Draft (in H ₂ O)	Run Time (min)
1	0.96	0	-0.036	120
2	1.48	0	-0.043	120
3	1.86	0	-0.048	120
4	0.69	0	-0.034	120

TEST RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A total of four test runs were conducted in the following categories: one in the <0.80 kg/hr dry category, one in the 0.80 to 1.25 kg/hr dry category, one in the 1.25 to 1.90 kg/hr dry category, and one at maximum.

The weighted particulate emission level was measured to be 1.7 g/hr.

The proportionality results for all four test runs were acceptable. Quality check results for each test run are presented in Section 2 of this report.